

1 DAMIEN J. MARSHALL
2 (admitted *pro hac vice*)
dmarshall@kslaw.com
3 ANDREW MICHAELSON
4 (admitted *pro hac vice*)
amichaelson@kslaw.com
KING & SPALDING LLP
5 1185 Avenue of the Americas, 34th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Tel: (212) 556-2100; Fax: (212) 556-2222
6
7 SUZANNE E. NERO (SBN 284894)
snero@kslaw.com
8 MEGHAN H. STRONG (SBN 324503)
mstrong@kslaw.com
KING & SPALDING LLP
9 50 California Street, Suite 3300
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel: (415) 318-1200; Fax: (415) 318-1300
10
11 ANDREW J. CERESNEY
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
aceresney@debevoise.com
DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP
12 919 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022
Tel: (212) 909-6000; Fax: (212) 909-6836
13
14
15 *Attorneys for Defendants Ripple Labs Inc.,*
XRP II, LLC, and Bradley Garlinghouse
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

MICHAEL K. KELLOGG
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
mkellogg@kellogghansen.com
REID M. FIGEL
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
rfigel@kellogghansen.com
GREGORY G. RAPAWY
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
grapawy@kellogghansen.com
BRADLEY E. OPPENHEIMER
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
boppenheimer@kellogghansen.com
BETHAN R. JONES
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
bjones@kellogghansen.com
KELLOGG, HANSEN, TODD, FIGEL,
& FREDERICK, P.L.L.C.
Sumner Square
1615 M Street, N.W., Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036
+1 (202) 326-7900

Counsel for Defendant Ripple Labs Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

In re RIPPLE LABS INC. LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALL ACTIONS

Case No. 4:18-cv-06753-PJH

**[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER
PARTY'S MATERIALS SHOULD BE
SEALED**

Magistrate Judge: Robert M. Illman

1 Having reviewed Defendants' Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another
 2 Party's Materials Should Be Sealed and the Declaration of Suzanne E. Nero in support therefor,
 3 Lead Plaintiff's declaration in response, if any, and for good cause appearing, the motion is granted
 4 as follows:

5 Documents to be Filed Under Seal	6 Portions to Be Filed Under Seal
Joint Letter Brief	Entirety of the document
Ex. A, Plaintiff's Response and Objections to Defendant Ripple Labs Inc.'s Second Set of Interrogatories	Entirety of the document
Ex. B, Plaintiff's Supplemental Responses and Objections to Defendant Ripple Labs Inc.'s Second Set of Requests for Admission	Entirety of the document

10

11 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

12

13 Date: _____

14 By: _____
 ROBERT M. ILLMAN
 United States Magistrate Judge

15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27

28